



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/491,581	01/25/2000	Shigeto Igarashi	2811	8717
759	07/31/2002			
Law Office of David O'Reilly			EXAMINER	
1800 Bridgegate Street #200			VU, NGOC YEN T	
Westlake Village	e, CA 91361		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	グ
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

g

¹ Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/491,581**

Applicant(s)

Shigeto IGARASHI

Examiner

Ngoc-Yen VU

Art Unit **2612**



	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH/OVEDOM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the s	tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the a 	pplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May 9, 200</u>	02
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action	n is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quawas C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>17-22</u>	islare pending in the applica
	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
.6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>17-22</u>	
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a்͡͡ accepted or b͡ː objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin	
	is: a approved b) □disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to thi	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have b	een received.
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have b	een received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the co	ertified copies not received.
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional a	
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	_
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Art Unit: 2612

Response to Amendment

1. The amendments, filed on 05/09/2002, have been entered and made of record. Claims 17-22 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16, filed on 05/09/2002, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. This Office action is not made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iketani (US #4,903,136) in view of Thommen (US #3,555,181).

Regarding claim 17, Iketani '136 teaches in a signal amplifying circuit for a CCD camera the improvement comprising a first automatic gain control auxiliary amplifying circuit having a low amplification degree (AGC 24, SW 36 at EF terminal, and SW 32 at DN) and a second automatic gain control auxiliary amplifying circuit having a high amplification degree (AGC 24,

Application/Control Number: 09/491,581

Art Unit: 2612

SW 36 at EF terminal, and SW 32 at UP), and selecting means for selecting said automatic gain control auxiliary amplifying circuits as needed (col. 2 line 11 - col. 4 line 58).

Page 3

Claim 17 differs from Iketani in that the claim further requires the first automatic gain control auxiliary amplifying circuit having a high S/N ratio and low amplification degree and the second automatic gain control auxiliary amplifying circuit having a low S/N ratio and high amplification degree. However, the limitations are well known in the art as taught in Thommen. In the same field of endeavor, Thommen '181 teaches an automatic video level control employing iris and amplifier gain adjustments (see Fig. 1; col. 2 line 68 - col. 4 line 38). Thommen further teaches that the high gain of the variable gain amplifier 17 creates a low S/N ratio and the low gain of the variable gain amplifier 17 creates a high S/N ratio (see col. 4 line 40 - col. 5 line 20). In light of the teaching from Thommen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the low and high amplification degree of the AGC 24 taught in Iketani creates a high and low S/N ratio, respectively.

As to claim 18, Iketani teaches the signal amplifying circuit including a video camera signal processing circuit (signal processing circuit 26) having said first and second automatic gain control auxiliary amplifying circuits in a single AGC amplifying circuit (AGC 24) and a switch for selectively switching between said amplification degree function of said first or second automatic gain control circuit (col. 3 line 37 - col. 4 line 58).

As to claim 19, Iketani and Thommen teach a detector for detecting a change of object illumination by detecting a video output signal level, said detector selectively switching between

Application/Control Number: 09/491,581

Art Unit: 2612

said first and second automatic gain control circuits to provide the appropriate degree of amplification (Iketani, col. 3 lines 2+; Thommen, col. 3 line 41 - col. 4 line 39).

Regarding claims 20-22, the subject matter in claims 20-22 can be found in claims 17-19.

Conclusion

4. Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

(for informal or draft communications, please label

Page 4

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ngoc-Yen Vu** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Wendy Garber**, can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Application/Control Number: 09/491,581 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

NYV 07/29/2002

> NGOC-YEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Group Art Unit 2612